

1 Law Office of Mahir T. Sherif
2 Mahir T. Sherif (135021)
3 3376 30th Street
4 San Diego, CA 92104
5 Tel: (619) 297-4444
6 Fax: (619) 297-4115

7
8 Attorney for Defendant, Miguel Merino-Calvero

9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 **(Honorable Jeffrey T. Miller)**

13 **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 **MIGUEL MERINO-CALVERO (2),**

17 Defendant.

Case No.: 08-CR-1165-001-JM

18)
19) **NOTICE OF MOTIONS, MOTIONS**
20) **and MEMORANDUM OF POINTS**
21) **AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF**
22) **DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS:**
23) **1) TO COMPEL DISCOVERY; AND**
24) **2) FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER**
25) **MOTIONS**
26)
27)
28)

29
30
31 **TO: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; KAREN HEWITT, UNITED STATES**
32 **ATTORNEY; AND ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY**

33 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 16, 2008, at 11:00 a.m. or as soon
34 thereafter as counsel may be heard, the defendant, MIGUEL MERINO-CALVERO, by
35 and through his counsel, Mahir T. Sherif, will respectfully move this court to compel
36 discovery and to grant leave to file further motions.

37 COMES NOW defendant, by and through his counsel, Mahir T. Sherif, and
38 respectfully requests that this court compel discovery and to grant leave to file further

39
40
41 NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
42 SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE
43 FURTHER MOTIONS

1 motions. Said motion is made pursuant to the Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Amendments to
2 the United States Constitution, Fed. R. Crim. P. Rules 12, 16, and 26, and all other
3 applicable statutes, case law and local rules.

4 SAID MOTION is based upon the instant notice of motion and motion, the
5 attached statement of facts and memorandum of points and authorities in support of
6 this motion, any evidence or argument presented at a hearing on this matter, and for
7 any and all other reasons the court deems proper.

8
9 Dated: May 12, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

10 By: s/ Mahir T. Sherif, Esq.
11 MAHIR T. SHERIF
12 (CA Bar No. 135021)
13 3376 30th Street
14 San Diego, CA 92104
15 (619) 297-4444
16 Fax: (619) 297-4115
17 mahirsherif@sbcglobal.net

Attorney for Defendant

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS**I.****INTRODUCTION**

Defendant was arrested on March 27, 2008 for allegedly transporting known illegal aliens within the United States. Defendant is alleged to have acted as a foot guide for several illegal immigrants crossing the desert from Tecate, Mexico. A Complaint was filed on March 28, 2008 charging Defendant with violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii).

II.**REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY AND TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE**

(1) DEFENDANT'S STATEMENTS. The defendant requests all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements made by the defendant which the government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements which the government intends to introduce at trial and any written summaries of the defendant's oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the government agent; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant; as well as any other statements by the defendant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A). The Advisory Committee Notes and the 1990 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the government must reveal all the defendant's statements, whether oral or written, regardless of whether the government intends to make any use of those statements.

(2) ARREST REPORTS, NOTES AND DISPATCH TAPES. The defendant also specifically requests the government to turn over all arrest reports, notes, dispatch or any other tapes, the TECS records that relate the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. Such material is discoverable under

1 Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); see also United
 2 States v. Johnson, 525 F.2d 999 (2d Cir. 1975); Loux v. United States, 389 F.2d 911 (9th

3 Cir. 1968). The government must produce arrest reports, investigator notes, memos

4 from arresting officers, dispatch and other tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution

5 reports pertaining to the defendant and his arrest. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B) and

6 (c), Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2 and 12(i). This request is also made pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.

7 P. 12(d) which provides that a defendant may, in order to afford an opportunity to

8 move to suppress evidence under subdivision (b)(3) of this rule, request notice of the

9 government's intention to use evidence in chief at trial, any evidence which the

10 defendant may be entitled to discovery under Rule 16.

11 (3) BRADY MATERIAL. The defendant requests all documents, statements,

12 agents' reports, and tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt

13 and/or which affects the credibility of the government's case. Under Brady,

14 impeachment as well as exculpatory evidence falls within the definition of evidence

15 favorable to the accused. United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); United States v.

16 Agurs, 427 U.S. 97 (1976).

17 (4) INFORMATION THAT MAY SUPPORT A LOWER SENTENCE. The

18 defendant requests that the government produce this information, consistent with

19 Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). This request includes any cooperation or

20 attempted cooperation by the defendant as well as any information that could affect

21 any base offense level or specific offense characteristic under Chapter Two of the

22 Guidelines. The defendant also requests any information relevant to a Chapter Three

23 adjustment, a determination of the defendant's criminal history, and information

24 relevant to any other application of the Guidelines.

25 (5) DEFENDANT'S PRIOR RECORD. The defendant requests disclosure of

26 his prior record. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B).

27 (6) PROPOSED 404(B) EVIDENCE. The defendant requests that the

28 government produce evidence of prior similar acts under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(c)

1 and Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b), “upon
2 request of the accused, the prosecution ... shall provide reasonable notice in advance
3 of trial .. of the general nature” of any evidence the government proposes to introduce
4 under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) at trial. The defendant requests such notice be given at
5 least three weeks before trial in order to give the defense time to adequately
6 investigate and prepare for trial.

7 (7) EVIDENCE SEIZED. The defendant requests production of evidence seized
8 as a result of any search, either warrantless or with a warrant. Fed. R. Crim. P.
9 16(a)(1)(c).

10 (8) PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE. The defendant specifically requests the
11 preservation of any and all physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise
12 put out of the possession, custody, or care of the government and which related to the
13 arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this case. This request includes, but is not
14 limited to, any scientific tests, the vehicle which the defendant drove, the defendant’s
15 personal effects, and any evidence seized from the defendant or any third party. The
16 defendant also request that the government be ordered to question all the agencies
17 and individuals involved in the prosecution and investigation of this case to
18 determine if such evidence exists, and if it does so exist, to inform those parties to
19 preserve any such evidence.

20 (9) TANGIBLE OBJECTS. The defendant requests the opportunity to inspect
21 and copy as well as test, if necessary, all other documents and tangible objects,
22 including photographs, books, paper, documents, alleged narcotics, fingerprint
23 analyses, vehicles, or copies of portions thereof, which are material to the defense or
24 intended for use in the government’s case-in-chief or were obtained from or belong to
25 the defendant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(c). Specifically, the defendant requests copies
26 of all photographs in the government’s possession of the vehicle. In addition, the
27 defendant requests a copy of any forms containing the Miranda warnings or his
28 waiver of Miranda protection.

1 (10) EXPERT WITNESSES. The defendant requests the name, qualifications,
 2 and a written summary of the testimony of any person that the government intends to
 3 call as an expert witness during its case in chief. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E). The
 4 defense respectfully requests that notice of expert testimony be provided at least three
 5 weeks prior to trial so that the defense can properly prepare to address and respond to
 6 this testimony, including obtaining its own expert and/or investigating the opinions
 7 and credentials of the government's expert.

8 (11) EVIDENCE OF BIAS OR MOTIVE. The defendant requests any evidence
 9 that any prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the
 10 defendant, or has a motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony. See United States
 11 v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988); Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987).

12 (12) IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE. The defendant requests any evidence that
 13 any prospective government witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not
 14 resulting in a conviction and whether any witness has made a statement favorable to
 15 the defendant. See Fed R. Evid. 608, 609 and 613; Brady v. Maryland; see also United
 16 States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988) (witness' prior record); John Dumas v.
 17 United States, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence that detracts from a witness'
 18 credibility).

19 (13) EVIDENCE OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION OF ANY GOVERNMENT
 20 WITNESS. The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective witness is
 21 under investigation by federal, state or local authorities for any criminal conduct.
 22 United States v. Chitty, 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir.) cert. denied, 474 U.S. 945 (1985).

23 (14) EVIDENCE AFFECTING PERCEPTION, RECOLLECTION, ABILITY TO
 24 COMMUNICATE, OR TO TELL THE TRUTH. The defense requests any evidence,
 25 including any medical psychiatric report or evaluation, that tends to show that any
 26 prospective witness' ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the truth is
 27 impaired, and any evidence that a witness has ever used narcotics or other controlled
 28

substance, or has ever been an alcoholic. See United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988), Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 224 (4th Cir. 1980).

(15) WITNESS ADDRESSES. The defendant requests the name and last known address of each prospective government witness. See United States v. Napue, 834 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir. 1987), United States v. Tucker, 716 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure to interview government witnesses by counsel is ineffective), United States v. Cook, 608 F.2d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979) (defense has equal right to talk to witnesses). The defendant also requests the name and last known address of every witness to the crime or crimes charged (or any of the overt acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a government witness. United States v. Cadet, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984).

(16) NAME OF WITNESSES FAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT. The defendant requests the name of any witness who made an arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant or who could not identify him or who was unsure of his identify, or participation in the crime charged. Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 223 (4th Cir. 1980), Hudson v. Blackburn, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1086 (1980), Jones v. Jago, 575 F.2d 1164, 1168 (6th Cir.), cert denied, 439 U.S. 883 (1978), Jackson v. Wainwright, 390 F.2d 288 (5th Cir. 1968).

(17) STATEMENTS RELEVANT TO THE DEFENSE. The defendant requests disclosure of any statement relevant to any possible defense or contention that he might assert. United States v. Baileaux, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982).

(18) JENCKS ACT MATERIAL. The defendant requests production in advance of trial of all material, including dispatch tapes, or video recordings, which the government must produce pursuant to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500. Advance production will avoid the possibility of delay at the request of defendant to investigate the Jencks material. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes constitute an accurate account of the witness' interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a statement under section 3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States, 373 U.S.

1 487, 490-92 (1963). In United States v. Boshell, 952 F.2d 1101 (9th Cir. 1991) the Ninth
2 Circuit held that when an agent goes over interview notes with the subject of the
3 interview the notes are then subject to the Jencks Act.

4 (19) GIGLIO INFORMATION. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S.
5 150 (1972), the defendant requests all statements and/or promises, express or implied,
6 made to any government witnesses, in exchange for their testimony in this case, and
7 all other information which could arguably be used for the impeachment of any
8 government witnesses.

9 (20) AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT AND WITNESSES. The
10 defendant requests discovery regarding any express or implicit promise,
11 understanding, offer of immunity, of past, present, or future compensation, or any
12 other kind of agreement or understanding, including any implicit understanding
13 relating to criminal or civil income tax, forfeiture or fine liability, criminal prosecution
14 or immigration deportation, between any prospective government witness and the
15 government (federal, state and/or local). This request also includes any discussion
16 with a potential witness about or advise concerning any contemplated prosecution, or
17 any possible plea bargain, even if no bargain was made, or the advise not followed.
18 Further and pursuant to United States v. Sudikoff, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1196 (C.D. Cal.
19 1999), the defense requests all statements made, either personally or through counsel,
20 at any time which relate to the witnesses' statements regarding this case, any promises
21 (implied or express) regarding punishment, prosecution or detention of these
22 witnesses, any agreement sought, bargained for or requested, on the part of the
23 witness at any time.

24 (21) INFORMANTS AND COOPERATING WITNESSES. The defendant
25 requests disclosure of the names and addresses of all informants or cooperating
26 witnesses used or to be used in this case, and in particular, disclosure of any
27 informant who was a percipient witness in this case or otherwise participated in the
28 crime charged against the defendant. The defendant requests that the government

1 disclose the informant's identity and location, as well as disclose the existence of any
2 other percipient witness unknown or unknowable to the defense. Roviaro v. United
3 States, 353 U.S. 52, 61-62 (1957). The defendant also requests that the government
4 must disclose any information derived from informants which exculpates or tends to
5 exculpate the defendant.

6 (22) BIAS BY INFORMANTS OR COOPERATING WITNESSES. The
7 defendant requests disclosure of any information indicating bias on the part of any
8 informant or cooperating witness. Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Such
9 information would include, if any, inducements, favors, payments or threats made to
10 the witness to secure cooperation with the authorities.

11 (23) GRAND JURY TRANSCRIPTS. The defendant also requests, in order to
12 determine whether the Grand Jury was properly charged, a transcript of the Grand
13 Jury instructions. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 12(i) and 26.

14 (24) PERSONAL FILES OF GOVERNMENT AGENTS. The defendant requests
15 the personnel file of the interviewing agent(s) containing any complaints of assaults,
16 abuse of discretion and authority and/or false arrest. Pitches v. Superior Court, 11
17 Cal. 3d 531, 539 (1974). In addition, the defense requests that the prosecutor examine
18 the personnel files of all testifying agents, and turn over Brady and Giglio material
19 reasonably in advance of trial. United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29, 30-31 (9th Cir.
20 1991). If the prosecutor is unsure as to whether the files contain Brady or Giglio
21 material, the files should be submitted to the Court, in camera. Id. The prosecution
22 should bear in mind that there exists an affirmative duty on the part of the
23 government to examine the files. Id.

24 (25) RESIDUAL REQUEST. The defendant intends by this discovery motion to
25 invoke his right to discovery to the fullest extent possible under the Federal Rules of
26 Criminal Procedure and the Constitution and laws of the United States. The
27 defendant requests that the government provide the defendant and his attorney with
28

1 the above requested material as soon as said material is available, and sufficiently in
2 advance of trial to avoid unnecessary delay prior to cross examination.

3 **III.**

4 **REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS**

5 The Defendant, MIGUEL MERINO-CALVERO, by and through his attorney of
6 record, Mahir T. Sherif, respectfully requests the Court grant him leave to file further
7 motions after he has had the benefit of receiving, and the opportunity to review
8 further discovery.

9 Dated: May 12, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

10 By: s/ Mahir T. Sherif, Esq.
11 MAHIR T. SHERIF
12 (CA Bar No. 135021)
13 3376 30th Street
14 San Diego, CA 92104
15 (619) 297-4444
16 Fax: (619) 297-4115
17 mahirsherif@sbcglobal.net

18 Attorney for Defendant
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby declare that this 12th day of May 2008, a copy of the foregoing was electronically served via the CM/ECF system on the following parties:

Gayle J Mayfield-Venieris

Mayfield and Associates

462 Stevens Avenue

Suite 303

Solana Beach, CA 92075-2066

(858)793-8090

Fax: (858)793-8099

Email: federal@mayfield-law.com

Luella Mendoza Caldito

U S Attorneys Office Southern District of California

880 Front Street

Room 6293

San Diego, CA 92101

(619)557-5610

Fax: (619)235-2757

Email: Luella.Caldito@usdoj.gov

Dated: May 12, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

By: s/ Mahir T. Sherif, Esq.
MAHIR T. SHERIF (CA Bar No. 135021)
3376 30th Street
San Diego, CA 92104
(619) 297-4444
Fax: (619) 297-4115
mahirsherif@sbcglobal.net

Attorney for Defendant